

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ITANO М XI/P6217USO 09/214,708 01/11/99 **EXAMINER** 000881 IM62/0912 LARSON & TAYLOR, PLC WILKINS, Y PAPER NUMBER 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET **ART UNIT** SUITE 900 1746 ALEXANDRIA VA 22314 DATE MAILED: 09/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/214,708

Yolanda Person

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit 1746

itano

Office Action Summary

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except to in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
 ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note of the Certification of the Certification from the certification from	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been umber)
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-5 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09214708 Page 2

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

C3F5, C3F6O (epoxy), and C3F6O.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09214708

Art Unit:

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: 2.

Lack Unity of Invention...

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT 3.

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special

technical features for the following reasons: The invention listed as groups I, II, and III above do

not relate to a single general inventive concept. They lack the same or corresponding special

technical feature. The special technical feature of claim 1 is the "common core structure" which

is shown by Senque et al. in the claims and in example 5, and does not make a contribution over

the prior art.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Jackson on 7/05/00 to request an oral election to the 4.

above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5.

examiner should be directed to Yolanda Wilkins whose telephone number is (703) 305-0183.

RANDY GULAKOWSKI INDLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 3